S AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

TO: Mail Stop 8
Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

filed in the U.S. District Court Northern District of California on the following X Patents or Trademarks:  DOCKET NO. CV 09-03524 JL PATE FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT Northern District of California, San Francisco Division  PLAINTIFF ENLINK GEOENERGY SERVICES INC. DEFENDANT JACKSON AND SONS DRILLING AND PUMP INC.  PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK  1	in Comp	mance with 55 g 290 attoror	13 0.3.0. 9 111	o you are nevery advised that a court action	n nas ocen
CV 09-03524 JL 7/31/09 Northern District of California, San Francisco Division  PLAINTIFF ENLINK GEOENERGY SERVICES INC.  PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK  1 6, 95 5, 219  2 7,093,657  3 7,270,182  4  5  In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:  DATE INCLUDED  INCLUDED BY  PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK  1 OR TRADEMARK NO. DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK  DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK  1 OR TRADEMARK  DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK  1 OR TRADEMARK  DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK  DECISION/JUDGEMENT  CLERK  (BY) DEPUTY CLERK  DATE	filed in the U.S. E	District Court Northern I	District of Califo		Trademarks:
PAINTIFF ENLINK GEOENERGY SERVICES INC.    DEFENDANT   JACKSON AND SONS DRILLING AND PUMP INC.    PATENT OR		DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
ENLINK GEOENERGY SERVICES INC.    JACKSON AND SONS DRILLING AND PUMP INC.    PATENT OR TRADEMARK   HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK     1		7/31/09			rancisco Division
TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK  1 6, 955, 2,19  2 7,093,657  3 7,270,192  In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:  DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK  1 2  3 4  5 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:  DECISION/JUDGEMENT  CLERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE			•	JACKSON AND SONS DRIL	LING AND PUMP
2 7,093,657 3 7,270,182  In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:  DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK  1 2 3 4 5  In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:  DECISION/JUDGEMENT  CLERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE				HOLDER OF PATENT OR TR	ADEMARK
3 7,370,182  In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:  DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading  PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK  1 2 3 4 5  In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:  DECISION/JUDGEMENT  CLERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE	1 6,955,219				
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:  DATE INCLUDED  INCLUDED BY  Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading  PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK  1 2 3 4 5  In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:  DECISION/JUDGEMENT  CLERK  (BY) DEPUTY CLERK  DATE	<del></del>			***************************************	
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:  DATE INCLUDED  INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading  PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK  HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK  1 2 3 4 5  In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:  DECISION/JUDGEMENT  CLERK  (BY) DEPUTY CLERK  DATE	3 7,270,182	<u> </u>			
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:    DATE INCLUDED	<b></b>				
DATE INCLUDED    DATE INCLUDED BY	5				
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:  DECISION/JUDGEMENT  CLERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE	DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	Amendment	☐ Answer ☐ Cross Bill	
3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT  CLERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE		OR TRADEMARI	K.	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TR	ADEMARK
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:  DECISION/JUDGEMENT  CLERK  (BY) DEPUTY CLERK  DATE	2				
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:  DECISION/JUDGEMENT  CLERK  (BY) DEPUTY CLERK  DATE	3				
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:  DECISION/JUDGEMENT  CLERK  (BY) DEPUTY CLERK  DATE	<b></b>				
DECISION/JUDGEMENT  CLERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE	5				
CLERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE		entitled case, the follow	wing decision ha	s been rendered or judgement issued:	
	DECISION/JUDGEMENT				
	CLERK (/RV)T		(BY) DEPUTY	CLERK	DATE
	<b>1</b>				

Copy 1-Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 3-Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Commissioner

Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 4—Case file copy

1 2 3 4 5	KEVIN CADWELL (SBN 229404) BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 620 Hansen Way Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: 650.739.7500 Facsimile: 650.739.7699 kevin.cadwell@bakerbotts.com	E-filing
6 7 8	JAMES W. CANNON, JR. (TX SBN 03746 ANTHONY IANNITELLI (TX SBN 24032 (pro hac vice applications forthcoming) BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1500 Austin, TX 78701	(600) (2456)
9 10 11	Telephone: (512) 322-2500 Facsimile: (512) 322-2501 jim.cannon@bakerbotts.com anthony.iannitelli@bakerbotts.com	
12 13 14		TES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16 17 18	ENLINK GEOENERGY SERVICES INC.  Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
19 20 21 22 23	vs.  JACKSON & SONS DRILLING & PUMP, INC., JAMES D. JACKSON, SONIC DRILL CORPORATION, SONIC DRILLING, LTD., and RAY ROUSSY,  Defendants.	INFRINGEMENT (DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL)
24 25 26 27	infringement against Defendants Jackson &	Sons Drilling & Pump, Inc. ("Jackson Drilling"), Corporation ("Sonic"), Sonic Drilling, Ltd. ("Sonic
28	PAGE 1	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

#### **PARTIES**

- Plaintiff EnLink is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in 1. Rancho Dominguez, California. EnLink is a worldwide innovator in the development, design, and installation of geothermal heat pumps (GHP) and earth heat exchangers (EHX). GHP is widely acknowledged to be among the most efficient and effective HVAC technologies available today in the marketplace and EnLink uses proprietary EHX installation technologies to improve the cost-effectiveness of GHP solutions with minimum capital, operation, and maintenance expenditures.
- EnLink is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Jackson 2. & Sons Drilling Pump, Inc. ("Jackson Drilling") is an Ohio Corporation with its principal place of business at 3401 State Route 13, Mansfield, Ohio 44904-9394, and may be served through its registered agent, James D. Jackson, at that address. En Link is informed and believes that
- EnLink is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant James 3. D. Jackson ("Jackson") is an individual residing at 12965 Trimble Rd., Apt. 223, Mansfield, Ohio 44909. EnLink is informed and believes that Defendant Jackson is the president of Jackson
- Drilling and has conducted business in this District on behalf of Jackson Drilling. EnLink is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Sonic

Defendant Jackson Drilling has conducted business in this District.

- Drill Corporation ("Sonic") is a Nevada Corporation with its principal place of business at 1/14 West Magnolia Street, Suite #400-120, Bellingham, Washington 98225, and may be served through its registered agent, Susan Pagels, 208 W. Illinois, Bellingham, Washington 98227 EnLink is informed and believes that Sonic has sold equipment to Jackson Drilling that was used
  - EnLink is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Sonic 5. Drilling, Ltd. ("Sonic Canada") is a Canadian Corporation with its principal place of business at
- 12055-102 Avenue, Surrey, British Columbia, Canada V3V 3C5, and may be served in

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4.

in this District.

Sonic and/or Sonic Canada.

infringement that took place in this District.

accordance with the Hague Convention. EnLink is informed and believes that Sonic Canada has sold equipment to Jackson Drilling that was used in this District.

6. EnLink is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Ray Roussy ("Roussy") is a Canadian citizen residing at 12491 57A Ave., Surrey, British Columbia, Canada V3X 2S6, and may be served in accordance with the Hague Convention. EnLink is informed and believes that Defendant Roussy is the president of Sonic and Sonic Canada. EnLink is informed and believes that Roussy has conducted business in this District on behalf of

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332 and 1338, in that this is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, and the parties are citizens of different states. This court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because the Defendants regularly do business in this District, the Defendants have committed acts of patent infringement in this

District, and/or the Defendants have actively induced and/or contributed to acts of patent

8. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d) and 1400(b) because the Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district, Defendants reside or may be found in this District, Defendants are aliens, Defendants have committed acts of patent infringement in this District, and/or Defendants have actively induced and/or contributed to acts of patent infringement that took place in this District. The individual Defendants exercised

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

ownership, control, and active management of their respective companies sufficient to find venue

Patent Infringement -- U.S. Patent No. 6,955,219

9. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 8 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

in this District.

	10.	On October 18, 2005, the Patent and Trademark Office (the "PTO") duly issued to
Howare	d E. Joł	nson, Jr., United States Letters Patent No. 6,955,219 (the "'219 Patent"), entitled
"Earth	Loop I	nstallation with Sonic Drilling," a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto

11. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), Defendant Jackson Drilling has offered for sale, sold, and performed in the United States methods that infringe one or more claims of the '219 Patent.

as Exhibit A. Mr. Johnson assigned the '219 Patent to EnLink.

- 12. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), Defendants Jackson, Sonic, Sonic Canada, and Roussy have actively induced Defendant Jackson Drilling to infringe one or more claims of the '219 Patent.
- 13. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 217(c), Defendants Sonic, Sonic Canada, and Roussy have offered for sale, sold, and imported into the United States material and apparatus for use in practicing one or more claims of the '219 Patent, knowing the same to be especially made and/or adapted for use in an infringement of the '219 Patent.
- 14. On information and belief, Defendants knew of and willfully and deliberately infringed the '219 Patent.
- 15. EnLink has suffered damages in excess of \$75,000 resulting from Defendants acts of infringement, in an amount to be determined according to proof.
- 16. EnLink has suffered and will continue to suffer serious irreparable injury unless
  Defendants' infringement of the '219 Patent is enjoined.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

## Patent Infringement -- U.S. Patent No. 7,093,657

- 17. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 8 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 18. On August 22, 2006, the Patent and Trademark Office (the "PTO") duly issued to Howard E. Johnson, Jr., United States Letters Patent No. 7,093,657 (the "657 Patent"), entitled
- "Earth Loop Installed with Sonic Apparatus," a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto

as Exhibit B. Mr. Johnson assigned the '657 Patent to EnLink.

adapted for use in an infringement of the '657 Patent.

19. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), Defendant Jackson Drilling has offered for sale, sold, and performed in the United States methods that infringe one or more claims of the '657

Patent.

20. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), Defendants Jackson, Sonic, Sonic Canada, and Roussy have actively induced Defendant Jackson Drilling to infringe one or more claims of the

'657 Patent.

21. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 217(c), Defendants Sonic, Sonic Canada, and Roussy

- have offered for sale, sold, and imported into the United States material and apparatus for use in practicing one or more claims of the '657 Patent, knowing the same to be especially made and/or
  - 22. On information and belief, Defendants knew of and willfully and deliberately infringed the '657 Patent.
  - 23. EnLink has suffered damages in excess of \$75,000 resulting from Defendants' acts of infringement, in an amount to be determined according to proof.
  - 24. EnLink has suffered and will continue to suffer serious irreparable injury unless
    Defendants' infringement of the '657 Patent is enjoined.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

## Patent Infringement -- U.S. Patent No. 7,270,182

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 8 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. On September 18, 2007, the Patent and Trademark Office (the "PTO") duly issued to Howard E. Johnson, Jr., United States Letters Patent No. 7,270,182 (the "182 Patent"), entitled "Earth Loop Installed with Sonic Apparatus," a true and correct copy of which is attached

hereto as Exhibit C. Mr. Johnson assigned the '182 Patent to EnLink.

27. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), Defendant Jackson Drilling has offered for sale, sold, and performed in the United States methods that infringe one or more claims of the '182

Patent.

- 28. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), Defendants Jackson, Sonic, Sonic Canada, and Roussy have actively induced Defendant Jackson Drilling to infringe one or more claims of the '182 Patent.
- 29. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 217(c), Defendants Sonic, Sonic Canada, and Roussy have offered for sale, sold, and imported into the United States material and apparatus for use in practicing one or more claims of the '219 Patent, knowing the same to be especially made and/or adapted for use in an infringement of the '219 Patent.
- 30. On information and belief, Defendants knew of and willfully and deliberately infringed the '219 Patent.
- 31. EnLink has suffered damages in excess of \$75,000 resulting from Defendants' acts of infringement, in an amount to be determined according to proof.
- 32. EnLink has suffered and will continue to suffer serious irreparable injury unless Defendants' infringement of the '219 Patent is enjoined.

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## Injunctive Relief Under California Contractor's State License Law

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 8 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 34. EnLink is a licensed contractor in California within the meaning of the California Contractor's State License Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 700 et. seq. EnLink holds License No. 845741 with classifications C57 (Well Drilling (Water)), C20 (Warm-Air Heating, Ventilating, and Air-Conditioning), and A (General Engineering Contractor).
- 35. Enlink is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant Jackson Drilling is at least in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 7115 and 7117 by committing a continuing violation of the California Contractor's State License Law. Among other things, Defendant Jackson Drilling has bid on a contract without a license, held itself out as a contractor when performing work without a license, and performed work in the name of a licensed

contractor that was not Defendant Jackson Drilling.

- 36. Under California law, any licensed contractor may seek to enjoin the continued illegal activity of any entity committing a continuing violation of the California Contractor's State License Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 7028.4.
- 37. Therefore, pursuant to Section 7028.4 of the California Business and Professions Code, Plaintiff seeks and is entitled to have the Court enjoin Defendant Jackson Drilling's

continued violations of the California Contractor's State License Law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff EnLink respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor and against the Defendants and grant the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the Defendants has infringed the '219, the '657, and the '182 Patents in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), and (c);
- B. A judgment that the Defendants' infringement of the '219, the '657, and the '182 Patents has been willful and deliberate;
- C. A judgment that Defendant Jackson Drilling is in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 7115 and 7117;
- D. A permanent injunction, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining the Defendants, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from any further acts of infringement, inducement of infringement, or contributory infringement of the '219, the '657, and the '182

Patents;

- E. An order, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, awarding Plaintiffs damages adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for Defendants' infringement of the '219, the '657, and the '551 Patents, in
- an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty;

  F. An order, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, and based on Defendants' willful and
- deliberate infringements of the '219, the '657, and the '551 Patents, trebling all damages awarded to Plaintiffs;
- G. An order, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, awarding to Plaintiffs interest on the damages and costs incurred in this action;